



# Workshop 1 Innovation in Service Delivery and Performance Management

#### SUMMARY OF OUTCOMES

September 2015

Graham Currie Rico Merkert



International Conference Series on Competition and Ownership in Land Passenger Transport

# Workshop 1 – 18 papers 40 participants...



# **13 Countries**

Chile, Australia, Venezuela, Canada, Japan, Mexico, Singapore, USA, South Africa, Italy, Sweden, NZ, UK

# MONASH University





# Workshop 1 – 18 papers 40 participants...

- 1. TRENDS What are the <u>key trends</u> in Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management?
- 2. GAPS What aspects have <u>not been</u> <u>covered</u> by the papers presented in the workshop?
- 3. FUTURES Where do we see the industry in regard to innovation, Service Delivery and performance management say in 2020?







# Workshop 1 – 18 papers 40 participants in 5 Focus Areas

- 1. TRENDS What are the <u>key trends</u> in Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management?
- 2. GAPS What aspects have <u>not been</u> <u>covered</u> by the papers presented in the workshop?
- 3. FUTURES Where do we see the industry in regard to innovation, Service Delivery and performance management say in 2020?



ITS (Monash

A - IMPROVING RELIABILITY & SPEED WITH TRANSIT PRIORITY AND OPERATIONS REFORM

**B - SERVICE DESIGN IMPROVEMENT AND INNOVATION** 

**C. IMPROVING TRANSIT PLANNING METHODS** 

D. USER PERCEPTIONS, NEEDS AND BEHAVIOUR CHANGE

E - INNOVATION IN PERFORMANCE MONITORING AND PROCUREMENT



### A - IMPROVING RELIABILITY & SPEED WITH TRANSIT PRIORITY/ OPERATIONS REFORM

- 1. TRENDS What are the <u>key trends</u> in Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management?
- 2. GAPS What aspects have <u>not been</u> <u>covered</u> by the papers presented in the workshop?
- 3. FUTURES Where do we see the industry in regard to innovation, Service Delivery and performance management say in 2020?



### Key Issues

### Key Drivers

Papers

- When is a Bus Lane Warranted (13) <u>Todd</u> <u>Litman</u>
- Improving Bus Service Reliability: The Singapore Experience (3) - <u>Waiyan Leong and</u> <u>Karen Goh</u>
- Increasing the speed: a case study from Santiago (169) - <u>Alejandro Schmidt, Juan</u> <u>Carlos Munoz, Christopher Bucknell, Matias</u> <u>Navarro and Carolina Simonetti</u>
- Scheduled vs headway based operation: A hybrid approach (65) - <u>Diego García and Juan</u> <u>Carlos Muñoz</u>





### A - IMPROVING RELIABILITY & SPEED WITH TRANSIT PRIORITY/ OPERATIONS REFORM

#### **Trends**

- a. Increased priority/express/speed measure implementation
- b. Better quality/quantity of operations data
- c. Increased emphasis on improved passenger experience (reliability, information, speed)
- d. Trend is more concern about Reliability; mandated in contracts
- e. Emphasis on sustainability (bus speed, fuel use)
- f. More Pro Transit vs car emphasis (in some places)

#### Gaps

- g. A political/regulatory structure to deliver more priority
- h. Traffic signal (and dynamic) priority
- i. Approaches to data integration
- j. Definitions of reliability (human factor based)
- k. Human factor perspectives (and responses) to new technology
- I. Coordination/synchronization and reliability/priority
- m. The role of place/streetscape, complete streets in road redesign

#### **Futures**

- i. Realtime operations responses (automation, driverless in corridors)
- ii. Increase in number and range of operational schedules
- iii. Other (new) modes ; shared mobility and dedicated infrastructure
- iv. Open, increasing data availability

# MONASH University



### **Key Issues**

- Poor contract approaches to:
  - priority impacts/ benefits
  - Handling of big data/ data integration

### **Key Drivers**

- Technology
  - Computing, data, communications, geocoding
- City/Congestion Growth

PUBLIC TRANSPORT RESEARCH GROUP

## **B - SERVICE DESIGN IMPROVEMENT AND INNOVATION**

- 1. TRENDS What are the <u>key trends</u> in Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management?
- 2. GAPS What aspects have <u>not been</u> <u>covered</u> by the papers presented in the workshop?
- 3. FUTURES Where do we see the industry in regard to innovation, Service Delivery and performance management say in 2020?



Key Issues

### Key Drivers

#### Papers

- An overview of enhanced bus services in Australian cities: What has been tried, what has worked? (22) -<u>Geoffrey Tilden Clifton and Corinne Mulley</u>
- Super express services operated on urban highways: The opportunity of a new metropolitan transport mode (157) - <u>Matias Navarro, Juan Carlos Muñoz, Christopher</u> <u>Bucknell and Alejandro Schmidt</u>
- Comparing Open and Closed BRT networks in mediumsized cities (113) - <u>Francisco Javier Proboste, Juan</u> <u>Carlos Munoz and Antonio Gschwender</u>
- Demand and service impacts of competition for the market - Australian urban bus case studies (59) <u>Ian</u> <u>Wallis</u>





#### Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management

## **B - SERVICE DESIGN IMPROVEMENT AND INNOVATION**

#### **Trends**

a. Smart BRT [Pre BRT -BRT lite] development, testing, improvement

MUCH DIVERSITY OF MODELS BEING TESTED. IMPORTANT ISSUE IS WE ARE BEING INVENTIVE AND TRYING THINGS OUT – IMPACT ISSUE IS BRINGING OUT WHATS BEST; OPENNESS TO DIVERSITY AND NEW IDEAS

#### Gaps

- b. Dedication of corridors to Transit (BRT/BHLS, LRT Europe)
- c. Shared Mobility, Last Mile Innovation, low density (micro transit)
- d. Increased use of soft factors in design (e.g. info on bus, SF bus)
- e. Niche market offerings (SF bus), airports, universities
- f. Improving fixed bus infrastructure (stops stations)
- g. Selective frequency concentration on corridors
- h. Demand responsive transit
- i. Intermodal Integration

#### **Futures**

- i. Automation, dynamic assignment of vehicles
- ii. More Demand Responsive Transit and DRT Integration
- iii. More BRT



## **Key Issues**

- Adapting buses to maintain public safety
- Value soft factors needed
- Vehicle Design

### **Key Drivers**

- Value for money/ Efficency
- Improving user experience

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

**RESEARCH** GROUP

R

## **C. IMPROVING TRANSIT PLANNING METHODS**

- 1. TRENDS What are the <u>key trends</u> in Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management?
- 2. GAPS What aspects have <u>not been</u> <u>covered</u> by the papers presented in the workshop?
- 3. FUTURES Where do we see the industry in regard to innovation, Service Delivery and performance management say in 2020?



## Key Issues

### Key Drivers

#### Papers

- A decision support system for managing disruptions in tram systems (104) - <u>Paolo Carnaghi, Oded Cats</u> <u>and Wijnand Veeneman</u>
- Passenger Flows Management by a Valve on Subway Platform (168) - <u>Juan Carlos Muñoz, Arturo</u> <u>Didier and Constanza Silva</u>
- Mixed integer programming approach for scheduling evasion-control inspectors in an integrated public transport system (149) - <u>Cristián Cortés, Diego</u> <u>Muñoz, Pablo A Rey, Luis Trujillo and Sebastián</u> <u>Valenzuela Ramírez</u>





Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management

## **C. IMPROVING TRANSIT PLANNING METHODS**

**Trends** 

a. Bid Data, Computed Planning, optimizing, data mining

MORE SOPHISTICATION <u>BUT</u> IS IT BETTER LACK OF TOOLS

- LAND USE GAP SOCIAL PLANNING GAP;
- HUMAN FACTOR GAPS

#### Gaps

- b. Mapping. GIS, Positioning, accessibility planning
- c. Types of analysis, longitudinal data, smart cards
- d. Better passenger data, smart cards
- e. More/easier passenger feedback sought/considered
- f. More social transit service concepts; how to plan?
- g. Challenges for capacity planning to manage overloading (rail/road)

#### Futures

- i. Tools to make sense of data, visualization, Tools for automated network planning??
- ii. Data Overload, long way from reality, alienation from reality/humans



### **Key Issues**

- Silo approaches
- Lack of pro-active investment
- Need to consider human (psychological) factors
- Changing travel behaviours
- Poor model outcomes

## **Key Drivers**

- Data, AVM
- Changing travel behaviours

PUBLIC TRANSPORT RESEARCH GROUP

Poor model outcomes

# D. USER PERCEPTIONS, NEEDS AND BEHAVIOUR CHANGE

- 1. TRENDS What are the <u>key trends</u> in Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management?
- 2. GAPS What aspects have <u>not been</u> <u>covered</u> by the papers presented in the workshop?
- 3. FUTURES Where do we see the industry in regard to innovation, Service Delivery and performance management say in 2020?



Key Issues

### Key Drivers

#### Papers

- Enjoying loyalty: The relationship between service quality, customer satisfaction, and behavioral intentions in public transit (34) - <u>Dea van Lierop and</u> <u>Ahmed El-Geneidy</u>
- Nudge, Nudge, Wink, Wink. Say no more. The impact of smarter choices on the use of active travel and public transport (36) - <u>John Preston, Alan Wong</u> <u>and Adrian Hickford</u>
- The Role of Local Transport in the Solution for the Problem of Limited Access to Shopping Facilities (58) - <u>Yoshinori Takahashi and Hiroki Sakai</u>



# D. USER PERCEPTIONS, NEEDS AND BEHAVIOUR CHANGE

#### Trends

- a. Understanding user satisfaction, user experience
- b. Innovations in increasing ridership (softer measures)
- c. Data collection methods and tools for understanding implications
- d. Outreach of services to customers (shopping, rural)

#### Gaps

- e. New technologies to collect user data, opinions
- f. Transit marketing; managing user perceptions/expectations, responsibility
- g. Improved planning for customer satisfaction
- h. Innovative integrated service models (diverse services)

#### Futures

- i. More personalized services, easier to understand transit
- ii. More customized information (disruptions)
- iii. Consolidation of crowdsourcing
- iv. Travel behavior change

# MONASH University



### **Key Issues**

- Demographic/ land use changes - Aging population impairment liberalisation
- <u>Changing user</u> <u>expectations</u>
- Understanding how to use social media
- Understanding ridership retention

### **Key Drivers**

- Pressure to increase ridership
- Technology/ coms.
- <u>Better meet the needs of</u> <u>the customer/ Human</u> <u>factors</u>
- Disability legislation
- Cost efficiency

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

**RESEARCH** GROUP

G

R

### **E - INNOVATION IN PERFORMANCE MONITORING AND PROCUREMENT**

- 1. TRENDS What are the <u>key trends</u> in Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management?
- 2. GAPS What aspects have <u>not been</u> <u>covered</u> by the papers presented in the workshop?
- 3. FUTURES Where do we see the industry in regard to innovation, Service Delivery and performance management say in 2020?



## Key Issues

## Key Drivers

#### Papers

- An innovative automatic certification tool for the assessment of Public Transport service performances indicators and the management of service contract 23) - <u>Giorgio Ambrosino,</u> <u>Brendan Finn and Saverio Gini</u>
- Subjective criteria in procurement of public bus transports 115) - <u>Carolina Camén and Helene Lidestam</u>
- Better, Quicker, Together: piloting a mobile crowdsourcing approach to real-time sensing of transit service quality and customer satisfaction 126) - <u>Corinna Li, Kalan Vishwanath,</u> <u>Zhengquan Qin, Fang Zhao, Christopher Zegras and Jinhua</u> <u>Zhao</u>
- Estimation of travel time variability for public transport users in Santiago (159) - <u>Alejandro Tirachini and Elsa Durán</u>



#### Innovations in Service Delivery and Performance Management

### **E - INNOVATION IN PERFORMANCE MONITORING AND PROCUREMENT**

#### **Trends**

- a. Detailed monitoring data and new technology collection of data
- b. New methods of collecting data
- c. More User opinions in monitoring performance
- d. Better understanding of satisfaction

#### Gaps

- e. <u>Better fare collection (data) ; fairer revenue/better yield/</u> <u>Management</u>
- f. <u>More open data for procurement (info for procurement better</u> <u>contracts</u>
- g. More outsourcing and contracting



i. Govts own assets but outsource operations

#### **Futures**

- i. Better allocation of subsidies/funds
- ii. Better revenue allocation payment per pax km

## **Key Issues**

- Open data and privacy
- Pax complaints to resolution method
- Full disclosure
- Fare evasion
- Take politics out of procument
- Major disruption responses
- Increased measures but within an existing contract
- needs flexibile contracts
  Need for sophistication in satisfaction monitoring

## **Key Drivers**

- Better performance
- Better info, technology
- Cost effectiveness

PUBLIC TRANSPORT

**RESEARCH** GROUP

R

G

- Risk aversion in Govt
- Encouraging competition



